## Pole counting and pole classification

L. Y.  $\text{Dai}^{(a)}$ , X. G.  $\text{Wang}^{(b)}$ , H. Q.  $\text{Zheng}^{(a)}$ ,

<sup>(a)</sup> Department of Physics and State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing 100871, P. R. China.

<sup>(b)</sup> Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Science, Beijing 100049, P.R.

China

We analyze  $\pi\pi - K\bar{K}$  and  $\pi\eta - K\bar{K}$  couple channel [1,1] matrix Padé amplitudes of  $SU(3) \times SU(3)$  chiral perturbation theory. By fitting phase shift and inelasticity data, we determine pole positions in different channels  $(f_0(980), a_0(980), f_0(600), K_0^*(800), K^*(892), \rho(770))$  and trace their  $N_c$  trajectories. We stress that a couple channel Breit–Wigner resonance should exhibit two poles on different Riemann sheets and meet each other on the real axis when  $N_c = \infty$ . Poles are hence classified using this criteria and we conclude that  $K^*(892)$  and  $\rho(770)$  are unambiguous Breit–Wigner resonances. For scalars the situation is much less clear. We find that  $f_0(980)$  is a molecular state rather than a Breit–Wigner resonance, while  $a_0(980)$ , though behave oddly when varying  $N_c$ , does maintain a twin pole structure.

[1] L.Y. Dai, X.G. Wang, H.Q. Zheng, arXiv:1108.1451 [hep-ph]

E-mail:

zhenghq@pku.edu.cn