Recent results from VES detector

VES collaboration

- V.Dorofeev, R.Dzheliadin, A.Ekimov, Yu.Gavrilov, Yu.Gouz, A.Ivashin, V.Kabachenko, I.Kachaev, A.Karyukhin, Yu.Khokhlov, V.Konstantinov, M.Makouski, V.Matveev, A.Miagkov, V.Nikolaenko, A.Ostankov, B.Polyakov, D.Ryabchikov, N.Shalanda, M.Soldatov, A.A.Solodkov, A.V.Solodkov, O.Solovianov, A.Zaitsev
 - Presented by V.Nikolaenko

-VES detector

- Observation of f₁(1285)→π⁺π⁻π⁰ decay
- Threshold peak in (ωφ) system
 Upgrade of the detector

VES detector

- -The VES detector is a wide aperture forward spectrometer, which is
- Installed in unseparated beam of negative particles (mainly π^-)
- -Equipped with EM calorimeter
- Cherenkov detectors for identification of beam and charged secondary particles
- -Fast Data Acquisition system
- -Forward multiplicity trigger

VES detector



Observation of $f_1(1285) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ decay

- f1(1285) mass: m=1281.8±0.6 MeV;
- width: W= 24.2±1.1 MeV;
- Known f1(1285) decays: f1(1285) $\rightarrow 4\pi$, BR=(33.1±2.1)% f1(1285) $\rightarrow \eta\pi\pi$, BR=(52 ±16)% including $\rightarrow a_0(980)\pi$ BR=(36±7)% f1(1285) $\rightarrow K\overline{K}\pi$ BR=(9.0±0.4)% f1(1285) $\rightarrow \rho\gamma$ BR=(5.5±1.3)%

Isospin symmetry violation

- f₁(1285) has I^GJ^{PC}=0⁺ 1⁺⁺
- The f₁(1285) decay into three pions is prohibited by isospin symmetry
- But the isospin symmetry is violated
 - in EM processes
 - due to the quark mass difference m_d>m_u
 known isospin-violating decays:

 $\omega \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}, \phi \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}, \eta \rightarrow 3\pi, \psi(2s) \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^{0}$

$a_0(980) \leftrightarrow f_0(980)$ mixing



- f₀(980) has I^G J^{PC}=0⁺ 0⁺⁺
- a₀(980) has I^G J^{PC}=1⁻0⁺⁺
- Isospin symmetry violation makes possible $a_0(980) \leftrightarrow f_0(980)$ mixing
- A mechanism of a₀(980)↔f₀(980) mixing
 via loops of virtual kaons was proposed

$a_0(980) \leftrightarrow f_0(980)$ mixing (2)

- by N.Achasov, S.Devyanin, G.Shestakov Phys.Lett.B88 (1979) 367;
- diagrams with pairs of virtuak (⁰K⁰) and (K+K-) cancel one another but this cancellation is not perfect because of the mass difference between charged and neutral kaons
- The effect has a maximum at the mass region between 987.3 MeV < m < 995.3 MeV i.e. (K⁺K⁻) above threshold buK(⁰K⁰) below threshold
- This mechanism leads to a narrow peak on $m(\pi\pi)$.

$f_1(1285) \leftrightarrow a_1(1260)$ mixing

 Another possible mechanism which leads to f₁(1285) decay into three pions is f₁(1285)↔a₁(1260) mixing, see for example S.A.Coon, B.H.J.McKellar, V.G.J.Stoks, Phys.Lett.B385(1996)25;

predicted mixing depends on the $a_1(1260)$ width which is not well known

Proposed experiments

- Several methods for search of the a₀(980)↔f₀(980) mixing were proposed:
- a) the $f_1(1285) \rightarrow a_0(980)\pi$ decay as a source of $a_0(980)$ -mesons, and search for $f_0(980) \rightarrow \pi\pi$ decays;
- b) a special polarization experiment;
- c) the $J/\psi \rightarrow f_0(980)\gamma$ decay, and search for $a_0(980 \rightarrow)\eta\pi$ decays;
- d) central production of f₀(980) in pp-collisions and search for a₀(980→)ηπ decays;
 - e) asymmetries in polarized-p+n \rightarrow De π^0 η , and polarized-p+p \rightarrow De $\pi^+ \eta$;

References

- N.N.Achasov, G.N.Shestakov, Phys.Rev.D70 (2004) 074015, hep-ph/0312214;
- N.N.Achasov, S.A.Devyanin, G.N.Shestakov, Yad. Fiz. 33 (1981) 1337; Sov.J.Nucl. Phys. 33 (1981) 715;
- Jia-Jun Wu, Qiang Zhao and B.S.Zou, hep-ph 0704.3652;
- C.Hanhart, B.Kubis, J.R.Pelaez, hep-ph 0707.0262
- A.; E. Kudryavtsev, V.E. Tarasov, Yad.Fiz.66 (2003) 1994-2000,2003; nucl-th/0304052

Central production in pp-collisions

- Central production of the $\eta\pi^0$ system has been observed in WA102 experiment It can be interpreted as an experimental indication on possible $a_0(980)-f_0(980)$ transition. (F.Close, A.Kirk, Phys.Lett. B489 (2000) 24;). However, an exchange by secondary Regge trajectories can lead to the observed $\eta \pi^0$ production too.
- Therefore another interpretation is possible (see N.N.Achasov and A.V.Kisilev, Phys.Lett. B534 (2002) 83 ;)

Proposal of polarization experiment

- Needed transverse proton polarization;
- Reaction $\pi^{--}p \rightarrow (\eta \pi^{0})n$;
- the existence of the a₀(980)↔f₀(980) mixing can be unambigously established through the presence of a strong jump in the azimuthal (single-spin) asymmetry of the S-wave ηπ⁰ production cross section near the KK thresholds

reaction $\pi^- N \rightarrow (f_1 \pi^-)N$

- is suitable for search of $f_1 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ decay:
- this is a diffractive reaction, the cross section is large and the I t I-distribution is narrow;
- background reaction π-N→(4π)N is not a diffractive process and it is relatively suppressed, particularly at low 1t l;
- the dominant decay, $f_1 \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$, and the rare decay $f_1 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \eta^-$ are similar from the experimental point of view

Experiment and event selection

- Statistics acquired in π⁻Be interactions at 27, 36.6 and 41 GeV/c is analyzed
- requested primary vertex, two neg. and one pos. outgoing track, two showers in ECAL, which are not associated with charged tracks and have E>250 MeV
- Events with identified e⁺⁻ or K⁺⁻ were rejected
- A requirement on the sum of energies of outgoing particles was imposed, which selected events in diffractive peak

Fig.1, π^0 and η signals



selection requirements (cont.)

- EM-showers with effective mass from 105 to 165 MeV were taken as π⁰-candidates; the m-range for η-candidates was (435,620) MeV;
- Accepted ($\gamma\gamma$)-candidates were subjected to a kinematical 1C-fit to a pion or η mass; fitted parameters were used at further steps . Number of selected (π + π - π 0 π -) events is ~9.0.10⁶.
- Events with I t'l < 0.04 GeV2 were kept for analysis

Fig.2, t-distributions



Fig.3, $(\eta \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^-)$ system



number of f_1 events is 59300±600 (assuming gaussian shape of f_1).

$(\eta \pi^+ \pi^-)$ system

- The following observations were made:
- the $(f_1\pi^-)$ system is produced in spin-parity state J^P mn = 1⁺ 0⁺;
- the decay of this system into $f_1 (J^P = 1^+)$ and π proceeds in P-wave;
- the decay $f_1 \to \eta \pi \pi$ again involves a P-wave ;
- we derived an angular part of the amplitude which describe the sequence of production and decay processes:

angular amplitude

$$A = \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \sin(\phi_0 - \phi_2)$$

θ_1 is the Gottfried-Jackson angle of the extra π^- ; θ_2 is the polar angle of π^0 at the f₁ rest frame with Z-axis going along the direction of extra π^- ; ϕ_0 and ϕ_2 are angles of the beam particle and the π^0 momentum projections to the plane which is orthogonal to the momentum of extra pion. Validity of the corresponding weight,

$$W = |A|^2$$

is demonstrated at Fig.4d.

here

Fig.4, Angular weight



a-c) m($\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$) distributions; d) m($\eta\pi^+ \pi^-$) distribution

Fig.5, ($\pi^0\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-$) system



($\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^-$) system

- The total mass and the mass spectra of 2- and 3body combinations are shown at Fig.5.
- There are two entries per event at Fig. 5b, 5d, 5f
- It worse mentioning that the decay $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ is seen at Fig.5d (see zoom at the corner).
- A structure seen at Fig.5b near m=1300 MeV was subjected to detailed analysis.
- New cut: events with m(π⁺ π⁻ π⁰)<800 Mev were discarded.
- Angular weight W obtained in the analysis of the $(\eta \pi^+ \pi^-)$ system was applied (Fig. 4 a-c)

Next steps (cont.)

- events with 3-body mass, m(π⁺ π⁻ π⁰) in the interval from 1.20 to 1.35 GeV were taken. This interval was subdivided into 15 bins, the bin width is 10 MeV (NO angular weights used).
- The m(π⁺ π⁻) spectra in individual bins were inspected. A bump at the mass close to 985 MeV is observed at the bin from 1280 to 1290 (Fig.6). The fit with a gaussian signal and BG (phase space multiplied to a cubic function with arbitrary coefficients) plus gaussion signals for K⁰ and ω plus BW for ρ is shown.

Fig. 6, Fit of m($\pi^+\pi^-$) spectrum

1.280 < m(π⁺π⁻π⁰) < 1.290



Selected events at 1.280<m($\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$)<1.290 GeV. Masses and widthes of K⁰, ω , ρ are fixed (widthes at values estimated from experimental resolution).

Last steps

- The gaussian width of the fitted signal was determined at mass bin from 1280 to 1290 MeV, and then it was fixed. Statistical significance of the signal in this bin increased to 6.0 σ. Then fits at other bins were made, with fixed gaussian width.
- Results are shown at Fig.7. A peak is observed at this summary plot, with mass 1287±2 MeV and Gaussian width of 14.7±2.5 MeV
- The sum of observed signals N=1400±300 events.
- A similar procedure with binning on the m($\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^-$) was performed, no signal at the f₁ region was found.

Fig.7.VES data



Fitted number events in the peak at $m(\pi^+\pi^-)$ spectrum near 985 MeV as a function of $m(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$

Search for f₁(1285)-a₁(1260) mixing

- This mixing should lead to $(\rho^{+-}\pi^{-+}) \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{0}$ final states
- A fit of the m(π⁺π⁰) spectra in several intervals of m(π⁺π⁻π⁰) gives the intensity of the ρ⁺⁻ signal as a function of 3-pion mass.
- No enhancement of the ρ⁺⁻ signal at the f₁(1285) mass is observed

Limit on the $f_1(1285)-a_1(1260)$ transition

- A fit of the observed ρ⁺⁻ yields (assuming the gaussian f₁ signal with fixed mass and width) and a background
- BG = P2 + BW(a_2)
- gives the number of $f_1 \rightarrow \rho^{+-}\pi^{-+}$ events, N = --95 ± 444
- This number can be transformed to the upper limit :
- BR(f₁(1285)→ρ⁺⁻⁻π⁻⁺) < 0.10 % at 95% conf.
 level

Limit on f1↔a1 mixing

• BR(f1 $\rightarrow \rho\pi$)= $\Gamma_{a1\rightarrow\rho\pi} / \Gamma_{f1} \cdot (\Pi_{f1a1} / (m_{a1}^2 - m_{f1}^2 - i(m_{f1} \Gamma_{f1} - m_{a1} \Gamma_{a1}))^2$

$$pprox \Pi^2_{f1a1}$$
 / ($m^2_{f1} \Gamma_{f1} \Gamma_{a1}$)

Upper limit BR($f_1(1285) \rightarrow \rho^{+-} \pi^{-+}$) < 0.1 % leads to:

 $\label{eq:relation} \begin{array}{ll} \Pi_{\rm f1a1} < 0.0027 \ {\rm GeV^2} & \mbox{for } \Gamma_{\rm a1} = 200 \ {\rm MeV} \\ \Pi_{\rm f1a1} < 0.0047 \ {\rm GeV^2} & \mbox{for } \Gamma_{\rm a1} = 600 \ {\rm MeV} \end{array}$

It can be compared with prediction based on the assumption of universality of charge symmetry breaking in different channels like $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, $\phi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, $\eta \rightarrow 3\pi$ (Coon, Scadron, 1994)

 $\Pi_{f1a1} = 0.005 \text{ GeV}^2$

Results for $f_1(1285) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

- All elements of the observed pattern fit well in the hypothesis that the decay f₁(1285)→π⁺π⁻π⁰ is observed and that the mechanism of the isospin symmetry breaking, which has been predicted by Achasov and collaborators in 1979, works in this decay.
- From the observed number of events in (ηπ⁺π⁻) and (π⁺π⁻π⁰) channels we determine the relative branching ratios.

Our estimations are obtained actually in restricted interval of $m(\pi^+\pi^-)$, between 960 and 1010 MeV/c²:

Branching ratios

$$\frac{BR(f_1(1285) \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 (0.96 < m(\pi^+ \pi^-) < 1.01))}{BR(f_1(1285) \to \eta \pi^+ \pi^-) \cdot BR(\eta \to \gamma \gamma)} = (2.4 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.4)\%;$$

or

 $BR(f_1(1285) \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 (0.96 < m(\pi^+ \pi^-) < 1.01)) =$ = (0.19 ± 0.09)%

This value agrees with predictions of Achasov et al.

estimations

- For neutral $a_0(980)$ BR $(a_0^0 (980) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) = (3.3 \pm 1.4) \%$
- BR(f₁(1285) $\rightarrow \rho^{+-} \pi^{-+}$) < 0.10 % at 95% conf. level

(ωφ) system

- Used data acquired at 27 and 36.6 GeV/c
- Selected events with 2 positive, 2 negative tracks and two showers in ECAL forming a π^0 , in "elastic peak".
- Requested K⁺ and K⁻ identified in Cherenkov detector - seen φ
- Two remaining charged tracks taken with pion mass and $\pi^0\,$ seen ω
- Seen accumulation of events at intersection of ϕ and ω bands

(ωφ) system


(ωφ) system

- Taken events at the intersection of ω and ϕ bands
- Clear bump near threshold is observed
- t- slope is consistent with pion exchange
- Angular distributions: the ω and ϕ analyzers are shown
- COS of the Angle between two analyzers is shown, it is consistent with cos² (expected for the scalar decaying into two vectors in S-wave) plus background
- We have 380 ($\omega \phi$) events and 99 $\phi \phi$ ev.

(ωφ) system



Observed in $J/\psi \rightarrow (\gamma \ \omega \ \phi)$



By BES Collaboration. (Ablikim et al.), Phys. Rev.Lett.96:162002,2006, hep-ex/0602031

$(\omega \phi)$ system

 With higher statistics and in another production process we confirm the resonance-like bump with probably scalar quantum numbers

 Main direction of the ongoing upgrade: to increase resolution and identification capability of the spectrometer and to improve the performance during the data taking. Will gain in "exclusivity", kinematics accuracy, backgrounds suppression, and also gain in statistics (dead time decrease from 100 mksec to 15-20 mksec).

- Major steps:
- improvement of multichannel Cherenkov counter for pion-kaon discrimination: new mirrors with better focusing, more stable amplifiers for PMTs. Commissioned.
- construction of beam spectrometer with momentum resolution of 0.8% at 30 GeV/c. Commissioned, still room to improve.

- modernization of electromagnetic calorimeter: fine layers "shashlyk" against lead glass for better (almost factor 2) energy resolution. In progress, yy.2008-2009.
- replacement of large (~2.5 by 2 m) drift chambers in self-quenching regime of gas amplification with new ones in proportional mode. Straws in consideration.

 All these is supplemented with ReadOut electronics, DAQ, Slow Control and software improvements.

MC study for $(\eta \pi^+ \pi^-)$ system



Expected improvement in missing energy resolution from new beam spectrometer and new ECAL

Conclusions

- f₁ → π⁺π⁻π⁰ decay is observed, which violates the isospin symmetry, and the observed pattern of this violation agrees with mechanism which has been proposed by N.Achasov et.al. in 1979. An upper limit for f₁↔a₁ mixing is obtained.
- A peak in m($\omega \phi$) near threshold is observed, J^P = 0⁺. Similar object has been observed in J/ $\psi \rightarrow (\gamma \ \omega \ \phi)$ decay at BEC.

Fig.6, Selected events at $0.97 < m(\pi^+ \pi^-) < 1.00)$



 a) m(π⁺π⁻π⁰) at low I t I; b) the same but weighted; c) ratio of Weighted to Unweighted spectra; d) similar ratio for m(π⁺π⁻π⁰) at high I t I; e) similar ratio for m(π⁺π⁻π⁻) at low I t I.

Fig. 7, Ratio of weighted mass spectra at $0.97 < m(\pi^+ \pi^-) < 1.00)$



m(π⁺π⁻π⁰) spectrum at low I t I is divided by a spectra sum:

 sum = m(π⁺π⁻π⁰) at high I t I plus m(π⁺π⁻π⁻) at low I t I; fit by BW + linear Background yields m=1285±5 MeV and Width 28±10 MeV; the signal significance is 4 σ